UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,192	08/02/2005	Takehiko Tojo	264890US3PCT	1509	
²²⁸⁵⁰ 7590 04/26/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			MANAHAN, TODD E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/26/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Se	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,192	тојо	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Todd E. Manahan	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS THE MO	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	*	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-9, 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Apprint documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	elication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, particular particula	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 10, -- that -- should be inserted after "openings". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Briggs (United Sates Patent No. 5,799,69).

Briggs discloses a method comprising placing hair into a tubular hair holder. It has been held that to be entitled to weight in method claims, the recited structure limitations therein must affect the method in a manipulative sense, and not to amount to the mere claiming of a use of a particular structure. Ex parte Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408 (1961). Though Briggs is not used to curl hair, Briggs discloses each step in the method as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briggs (United Sates Patent No. 5,799,669).

Briggs discloses a device comprising a pair of rectangular sheet joined together along the long edge thereof to form a flat tube. One of the sheets includes a plurality of sheet openings 32 that are long in the width direction thereof and arranged in the length direction of the tube. The openings are arranged at a pitch (see figure 6). The opening may be in the form of rectangles (figs. 5 and 6). Briggs discloses the claimed invention except for the sheet openings having a pitch of 2 to 50 mm and the sheets having a Tabor stiffness of 0.4 mNm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the sheet openings with a pitch of 2 to 50 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claims 4 and 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the sheets having a Tabor stiffness of 0.4 mNm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briggs as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Brams (United Sates Patent No. 5,813,419).

Brams discloses a hair holding device comprising a tubular member having a plastically deformable member 19 movably secured thereto. It would have been obvious to one skilled in

the art to provide the device of Briggs with a plastically deformable member in view of Brams in order to secure the device with the hair in a rolled condition.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briggs as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Stephan (United States Patent No. 5,849,421).

Stephan discloses a hair device having indentations at spaced intervals along the edges thereof. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Briggs with indentions in view of Stephan in order to facilitate rolling of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 31 January 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that the pitch of the opening provides unexpected results and thus would not have been obvious to one skilled in the art, it is noted applicant has not provided an evidence of such results. Mere arguments can not take the place of evidence.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/522,192

Art Unit: 3732

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Rodriguez can be reached on 571 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HAMA

Todd E. Manahan
Primary Examiner